

PSG Institute of Technology and Applied Research

Staff Wise - Mid Semester Faculty Feedback Report for 2017 - 2018 - Even Semester

Name of the faculty :

Department

Title of Course

Programme

Class Strength

Date :

Overall rating of the faculty:

* Excellent :
 * Good :
 * Average :
 * Poor :

| S.No. | Teacher's Performance (Theory) | Average (Max 5) | Standard Deviation |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Clearly explains the objectives, requirements and evaluation system of the course | | |
| 2 | Sets high standards and expectations for everyone | | |
| 3 | Knows the subject matter | | |
| 4 | Organises material in a logical Sequence | | |
| 5 | Speaks clearly with good command in language | | |
| 6 | Uses teaching aids effectively for better understanding of the subject | | |
| 7 | Makes class interesting and relevant | | |
| 8 | Presents material in a variety of ways (shifting emphasis, demonstration hands-on, group, written, orally, etc.) | | |
| 9 | Provides a variety of ways to measure what was learned (Questions, Tests, Projects, Models Discussions, etc.) | | |
| 10 | Recognizes and acknowledges the effort of students | | |
| 11 | Manages a classroom that allows me to work and learn with few disruptions | | |
| 12 | Has the respect of the students | | |
| 13 | Enforces rules fairly and consistently | | |
| 14 | Encourages cooperation and participation | | |
| 15 | Summarizes the most important points at the end of the class | | |
| 16 | Quotes learning resources for further reading | | |

Questions for which the responses are less than 70% (i.e., average <3.5) are shown bold; these are the areas which require improvement for the faculty.

Response from faculty (Improvements planned in respect of each of the above points)

Date :

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Faculty

HOD

Principal